



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
E-mail: - <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050

No. HRSC-020008/106/2026/3912
To

Dated: 18.08.2026

The Designated Officer-cum-Registrar (Birth & Death),
Rewari.

E-mail: dhs.csrwr@hry.nic.in

Subject: Revision no. AAS26/2502324 /Health- Gyanesh Kumar - Application for Correction of entry in the register of Birth and Death (after submission of complete application) [RTS - 30 Days] – Rewari- Final orders.

I have been directed to invite reference to the subject cited above and to send herewith a copy of final orders dated 23.07.2026 passed by the Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission for information, please.

(Sube Khan)

Under Secretary-cum-Registrar,
Haryana Right to Service Commission
E-mail: rtsc-hry@gov.in

CC: Revisionist Gyanesh Kumar (through AAS) (contact no. 9351019256, e-mail: ashok_ku_mr@yahoo.co.in) for information.



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
E-mail: - <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050

Final orders

(Revision no. AAS26/2502324 /Health- Gyanesh Kumar - Application for Correction of entry in the register of Birth and Death (after submission of complete application) [RTS - 30 Days] - Rewari.)

Hearing date: 22.07.2026

Time: 11:00 am

Case type	Revision on AAS	
Department	Health Services Department	
Name of Service	Application for Correction of entry in the register of Birth and Death (after submission of complete application)	
Application no. & date of application	HRBDCORN/2026/09743 Dt. 06 Feb 2026	
RTS timeline	30 days	
RTS Due Date	23.03.2026	
District	Rewari	
Name of the Revisionist	Gyanesh Kumar	
Designated Officer	Designation	Registrar (Birth & Death), General Hospital, Rewari
	Action taken with date	Application rejected on 21.03.2026
	Remarks on SARAL	“HARD COPY NOT SUBMITTED TILL DATE THERE IS NO PROVISION FOR CHANGE IN NAME IN BIRTH AND DEATH RULE ”
First Grievance Redressal Authority	Designation	District Registrar, Rewari
	Date and mode of application submitted to FGRA	Self filed by applicant through SARAL portal on 21.30.2026
	Remarks of the Revisionist	“Request regarding refusal to correct name in Birth Certificate”
	Action taken by the First Grievance Redressal Authority with date	Final judgement delivered on 05.05.2026
	Remarks of FGRA	NA
Second Grievance Redressal Authority	Designation	Deputy Commissioner, Rewari
	Date and mode of application submitted to SGRA	Self filed by Applicant through SARAL portal on 06.05.2026
	Remarks of the Revisionist	“I requested correction of my minor sons name in the Birth Certificate from Jay to Gyanesh Kummar. On 23.04.2026 during a Video Conference with the Civil Surgeon CMO Rewari Dr. Deepak ,ADR and dealing officials, I was advised to submit a written request for correction without using URF, and I was assured that the name would be corrected accordingly. I submitted the written application on the same day 23.04.2026. However, the Birth Certificate was subsequently issued mentioning the name as Jay urf Gyanesh Kummar instead of correcting it to Gyanesh Kummar only. Since all official records of my son including Aadhaar, Gazette Notification, Affidavit, Newspaper publication and school records reflect the name as Gyanesh Kummar, the continued presence of the name Jay in the Birth Register is erroneous in substance. Therefore, I request correction of the Birth Register by removing Jay and recording the name as Gyanesh Kummar only under Section 15 of the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969. /”
	Action taken by SGRA with date	Appeal resolved on 09.06.2026
	Remarks of SGRA	“The birth certificate of the applicant has been issued according to rules./”

Commission	Mode & Date of filing Revision	Self filed by Applicant through Helpline on 10.06.2026
	Remarks of the Revision	“The impugned order dated 05.06.2026 has been passed without providing me a fair and reasonable opportunity of hearing. I was informed on 04.06.2026 that the hearing was fixed for 05.06.2026. Being employed and residing in Ahmedabad, Gujarat, it was not possible for me to appear physically at Rewari, Haryana on such short notice. Accordingly, on 04.06.2026 itself, I informed the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Rewari through email and WhatsApp that I could not attend physically and requested consideration of my written submissions or hearing through Video Conference. However, no response was received and the matter was decided ex parte. Further, despite repeated representations and assurances given during the Video Conference held on 23.04.2026 by the concerned officials that the name would be corrected without using URF, the Birth Certificate was issued as Jay urf Gyanesh Kumar. Since all official records including Aadhaar, Gazette Notification, Affidavit and other documents reflect the name of Gyanesh Kumar, I request that the order dated 05.06.2026 be reviewed and my case be reconsidered after granting me a proper opportunity of hearing. /”
	Whether Revision has been filed in time?	Yes
	Whether service has been applied under correct category?	Yes

2. Sh. Gyanesh Kumar applied on 06.02.2026 for the service “Application for Correction of Entry in the Register of Birth and Death (after submission of complete application)” notified under the Haryana Right to Service Act, 2014 (hereinafter referred to as the “Act”). The application was rejected on 20.03.2026 on the grounds that no hard copy of the application was submitted and that there was no provision under the applicable Birth and Death Rules for change of name. The applicant sought to change his son's name from "Jay" to "Gyanesh Kumar". The applicant had also got the name changed through a Gazette Notification dated 10.06.2025.

The first appeal was filed before the FGRA on 21.03.2026. The final order was passed on 05.05.2026 wherein it was stated that the name "Jay" had been entered by the applicant himself at the time of registration of birth and that there was no fault on the part of the hospital. Reference was made to the Commission's earlier order wherein the use of an alias (urf) was permitted. However, the same was not agreed to by the applicant. The respondents referred to the parent Act read with the Rules and stated that only clerical errors are permitted to be corrected, that too by adding an alias (urf) to the name. Accordingly, a birth certificate incorporating the alias (urf) was issued on 01.05.2026.

The second appeal was filed before the SGRA on 06.05.2026 reiterating the applicant's earlier contentions. Further, reference was made to the case of *Shweta Sharma vs. State of Haryana* wherein the Hon'ble High Court observed that where a person adopts a new name, the Registrar has the authority to correct the records. The appeal was marked as resolved on 09.06.2026 while reiterating the position already taken by the respondents.

Thereafter, the Revision was filed before the Commission on 10.06.2026 stating that the SGRA had passed the order without affording him an opportunity of being heard. It was submitted

that the hearing notice was served only one day prior to the hearing and that he had expressed his inability to join the proceedings. He further reiterated his earlier contentions.

3. Taking cognizance of the matter, the Commission vide letter No. 2741 dated 12.06.2026 directed the Designated Officer to submit an action taken report. In response, a reply was received from Dr. Narender Dahiya, FGRA-cum-Civil Surgeon, Rewari vide letter No. SA(VS)/2026/1141 dated 22.06.2026 reiterating the position already taken by the respondents. The birth certificate slip, wherein the name "Jay" was mentioned was also enclosed with the reply.

Therefore, in order to proceed further in the matter, a hearing was scheduled to be held before the Haryana Right to Service Commission on 22.07.2026 at 11:00 a.m. vide Commission's letter No. 3103 dated 16.07.2026.

4. (a) The hearing took place as scheduled and was attended by the following:

- i. Dr. Narendra Dahiya, FGRA-cum-Civil Surgeon, Rewari
- ii. Dr. Yogender, Designated Officer-cum-Registrar (Birth & Death), General Hospital, Rewari
- iii. Dr. Subhash Yadav, the then Registrar (Birth & Death), General Hospital, Rewari
- iv. Dr. Deepak Verma, Additional District Registrar, (Birth & Death), General Hospital, Rewari
- v. Sh. Ashok Kumar, the Revisionist

(b) Sh. Ashok Kumar reiterated the contents of his revision and requested that his son's name be changed as per his request. Upon being asked as to why he wanted to change the name off late after nearly ten years, he could not give any cogent reasons and stated that the child wanted a longer name.

(c) The respondents reiterated the contents of their earlier replies as placed in para 3 above. It was stated that as per the earlier directions of the Commission, they cannot concede to the request of the applicant since this is not a request based upon a clerical mistake but constitutes a complete replacement of the name which is not permissible as per the RGI instructions of 2015. They further contended that as per the birth report of the child dated 10.10.2016, the name was written as "Jay" by the family itself, hence there appears to be no clerical mistake.

5. The Commission has carefully considered all the facts and circumstances of this case. Upon perusal of the case details, the Commission observed that the present case is similar to the matter in Complaint No. HRTSC/Comp-23/Health/2026, wherein the Commission had passed final orders on 20.03.2026. The operative part of that order is reproduced below since the facts of the case are somewhat similar:-

"5. The Commission has carefully considered all facts and circumstances of this case. The instructions dated 30.06.2015 are not applicable to the facts of the present case. The opening paragraph of these instructions itself states as under:

"This office has received requests from many States regarding procedure to be followed in respect of change in name and date of birth of the child in birth record. As you are aware that based on the clarification given by the Union Law Ministry in the past (which was published in the Handbook on Civil Registration) change of name is not allowed in the registration record. However,

in respect of change in name, the Ministry of Law has allowed to put 'alias' between both names. This procedure is followed in many States."

Therefore, a change of name in the registration record is not ordinarily permissible. However, to address errors in names in birth records arising from negligent recording by hospital authorities or by the informant in the birth reporting forms, these instructions provide for the inclusion of an "alias". In cases where the use of "alias" is not acceptable to the applicant, necessary corrections in the name may be carried out upon the satisfaction of the Registrar regarding the authenticity of the documents furnished by the applicant. These instructions are intended solely for the purpose of correction of errors and not for cases where a person seeks to deliberately change his name. The Commission is of the considered opinion that a birth certificate records the name of a child at the time of birth and any change of name at a much belated stage, based merely on an affidavit, is not permissible. In the present case, the complainant was born on 15.11.1997 and has effected a change of name through a gazette notification dated 15.06.2024. He may, therefore, use the gazette notification wherever required. However, the Commission cannot direct a change of name in the birth certificate after such a considerable lapse of time. It is also to be noted that permitting such changes at a belated stage may have wider implications. By way of illustration, if an offence has been committed anywhere in the country or even abroad by Sh. Umesh Walia and thereafter, pursuant to a gazette notification, all his documents, such as the birth certificate, CBSE records and other educational certificates are altered to reflect a new name, it may become difficult for law enforcement or other authorities to trace and identify the individual originally known as Sh. Umesh Walia, who would effectively cease to exist in official records. This observation is purely illustrative and not based on any specific allegation in the present case. Accordingly, finding no merit in the complaint, the same is hereby dismissed.

Chief Registrar, Birth and Death, Haryana-cum-DGHS is also requested to take a view in this regard and circulate the instructions to all concerned, in case no such instructions exist on the subject."

Since, the nature of the request sought is identical to the above reproduced case, the Commission is inclined to dismiss this revision on similar grounds as it is not a clerical mistake of spelling change. It is a complete change of name without any valid justification. Hence, this revision is dismissed.

23rd July, 2026

