



**HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2<sup>nd</sup> FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017**  
**Website- <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050**

No. HRTSC/Comp-58/Revenue/2025/ 2147.

Dated: 09<sup>th</sup> June, 2025

To

The Deputy Commissioner,  
Kaithal, Haryana.  
E-mail: [dcktl@hry.nic.in](mailto:dcktl@hry.nic.in)

The DO-cum-Tehsildar,  
Guhla, District-Kaithal, Haryana.  
E-mail: [tehsilguhla@gmail.com](mailto:tehsilguhla@gmail.com) &

**Subject: Complaint regarding- Demarcation of Land if no standing crop, District-Kaithal, From: - Sh. Sukhchain Singh (M) 72069-04546**

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Interim order dated **5<sup>th</sup> June, 2025** passed by Sh. T.C. Gupta, Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission, Chandigarh in respect of above case for information and compliance. Further, DC, Kaithal is requested to submit the report to the Commission by **23.06.2025** through email at [rtsc-hry@gov.in](mailto:rtsc-hry@gov.in). failing which a hearing will be held on **26.06.2025 at 12:30 pm** Physical copies should not be sent. The reply being sent must also mention the name of the signatory along with the designation. The reply received without mention of the name of signatory will not be accepted. The link to VC will be shared a day before hearing.

(Sube Khan)

Under Secretary-cum-Registrar,  
Haryana Right to Service Commission  
E-mail: [rtsc-hry@gov.in](mailto:rtsc-hry@gov.in)

CC:-

A copy is forwarded to the following for necessary action and compliance: -

1. The SDO(C), Guhla, District-Kaithal. Email:- [sdmghl.hry@gmail.com](mailto:sdmghl.hry@gmail.com)
2. Sh. Sukhchain Singh (M) 72069-04546 for information.

(Sube Khan)

Under Secretary-cum-Registrar,  
Haryana Right to Service Commission  
E-mail: [rtsc-hry@gov.in](mailto:rtsc-hry@gov.in)



**HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2<sup>nd</sup> FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017**  
**Website- <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050**

**Interim Orders**

**(In respect of Complaint No. HRTSC/Comp-58/Revenue/2025)**

**Hearing date: 05.06.2025**

**Time: 10:30 am**

<b>Case Type</b>	Complaint Case (No. HRTSC/Comp-58/Revenue/2025)
<b>Department</b>	Revenue & Disaster Management Department
<b>Name of Service</b>	<i>Demarcation of Land if no Standing Crop</i>
<b>Date of application</b>	30.06.2023
<b>RTS Timeline</b>	45 Days
<b>RTS Due Date</b>	15.08.2023
<b>District</b>	Kaithal
<b>Name of the Appellant</b>	Sh. Sukhchain Singh
<b>Designated Officer (DO)</b>	Tehsildar
<b>First Grievances Redressal Authority (FGRA)</b>	SDM
<b>Second Grievances Redressal Authority (SGRA)</b>	DC

- The complainant, Sh. Sukhchain Singh submitted his grievance through post on 25.03.2025 to the Commission for the service "*Demarcation of Land if no Standing Crop*" (RTS - 45 Days) notified under the Haryana Right to Service Act, 2014 (hereinafter to be referred as the 'Act').
- Taking cognizance of the matter, the Commission sent a letter to the DO-cum-Tehsildar, Guhla vide no. HRTSC/Comp-58/Revenue/2025/1225 on 01.04.2025. He was directed to send the action taken report by 18.04.2025. A response was received from the Naib Tehsildar, Guhla vide memo no. 1236/Reader dated 07.05.2025. The reply stated that the complainant, Shri Sukhchain Singh (son of Shri Krishna), applied for the official marking of land falling under Khasra Nos. 242 and 53//29 in village Badsui. In compliance with the request, Shri Balbir Singh, Police Station Manager, Guhla, along with the police force, the field law officer and others were scheduled to visit the site for the marking on 02.05.2025. Prior notice regarding the visit was duly issued by the local law officer. Upon reaching the site, the officials found that the complainant was not present. The local law officer then contacted Shri Sukhchain Singh vide mobile number 72xxx-xxx46, and he assured that he would arrive within 10-15 minutes. However, despite repeated attempts to reach him thereafter, the complainant did not answer further calls. The team waited at the location for approximately 1 hour and 40 minutes, but the complainant neither arrived nor provided the D.G.P.S. machine, which was necessary for the marking procedure. Due to the complainant's absence and non-availability of essential equipment, the marking process could not be carried out.



4. To clarify the matter and to fix responsibility for the delay, a hearing was scheduled with the Tehsildar & Complainant to be held before Sh. T. C. Gupta, Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission on 05.06.2025 at 10:30 am, which was conveyed vide letter no. HRTSC/Comp-58/Revenue/2025/1917 on 26.04.2025.
5. (a) In the meantime, a reply was received from Naib Tehsildar, Guhla vide memo no. 1305/Reader dated 03.06.2025 and reply stated that the complainant, Shri Sukhchain Singh, son of Shri Krishna and resident of Village Badsui, submitted an application requesting the demarcation of Khasra No. 242 and 53//29. These Khasra numbers are jointly owned by other right holders under Arazi Haswa Rasd Jar Khevat as per the Jamabandi for the year 2022-23. The matter came to light on 03.03.2025 through a CM Window application filed by the complainant. Consequently, a notice was issued calling the complainant to the Tehsil office, where he appeared on 04.03.2025. In his statement, submitted under the CM Window ATR, the complainant mentioned that the Rabi crop was standing on the land and requested that demarcation be conducted only after the harvest. The concerned official went on leave from 01.04.2025 to 27.04.2025 and resumed duty on 28.04.2025, the same day on which the Halka Kanungo issued a notice for land marking.
- (b) On 02.05.2025, the Kanungo, Patwari, and police force visited the site for demarcation, but the complainant was absent. Despite being contacted through phone at 72xxx-xxx46—where he answered once—he neither appeared at the site nor returned after waiting for about an hour. As a result, another notice was sent to him on 30.05.2025, along with another call. On 31.05.2025, the Kanungo, Patwari, Sarpanch, and police force revisited the site for demarcation. This time, the complainant and a machine operator were present, and the demarcation was successfully carried out using the machine. Photographs of those present at the site were taken and attached to the report, which was completed by the Halka Kanungo. The report stated that there are inhabited houses (makanats) located within both Khasra numbers.
- (c) The complainant has provided a written statement confirming that the marking has been done and that he will collect the report copy once it is prepared, which is expected by 10.06.2025. Additionally, the machine operator gave an oral statement confirming that the demarcation work was completed. The official noted that he was unaware of the complaint prior to March due to the Tehsildar of Guhla being on leave since 19.02.2025. Immediate action was taken once the complaint came to his attention. However, it is also noted that although the demarcation work has been completed, the complainant is avoiding payment of the applicable fees.
6. (a) The hearing took place as scheduled, which was attended by Sh. Bansilal, Naib Tehsildar, Guhla, Kaithal. Sh. Sukhchain Singh, the complainant could not attend the hearing as he faced some problem in connecting through the VC.



- (b) The Naib Tehsildar stated that he is posted in Sub Division Guhla as Naib Tehsildar since 21.08.2024 and this work was being looked by Sh. Manjeet Malik, the then Tehsildar who is on leave since 19.02.2025. Consequent upon filing of the CM Window complaint, this matter came to his knowledge on 03.03.2025 after which, the steps taken by him were reiterated which have been summarised in Para No. 5 above.
- (c) The complainant was contacted on telephone who reiterated his satisfaction about the action taken after issuance of notice by the Commission on 01.04.2025. He thanked the Commission for getting his work done which was pending for approximately two years.
7. (a) The Commission has carefully considered all the facts and circumstances of this case. The Commission is satisfied with the reasons for delay and the action taken since 03.03.2025 and therefore, no action is proposed against Sh. Bansi Lal for delay in demarcation. However, the Commission does not appreciate the delay in demarcation for an application which was submitted on 30.06.2023. Moreover, when the demarcation was not being done, the complainant filed first appeal under the provisions of the Act on 12.07.2024 with the FGRA-cum-SDO(C), Guhla and the second appeal to the SGRA-cum-DC, Kaithal on 10.10.2024. Still no action was taken and the complainant was compelled to file a complaint on CM Window and thereafter with the Commission and it is only due to the proactive stand of the Commission that his work has been done.
- (b) The Commission would like to state here that after noticing large number of pending applications for demarcation of land, if no standing crop, it had taken *suo moto* cognizance of the same and sought pendency report from all the 22 Deputy Commissioners in the State in respect of applications submitted till 30.09.2023. A report was received from DC, Kaithal in this regard bearing memo no. 9481/S.K. dated 14.11.2024 (**Annexure-A**) stating that only one case was pending due to pendency of case in Hon'ble High Court bearing CWP No. 22285 of 2024. This communication was signed by Ms. Preeti, IAS, the then DC, Kaithal and is enclosed with these orders for reference. Therefore, it is clear that a wrong report was sent by the then DC, Kaithal. In view of this, following is ordered by the Commission: -
- (i) DC, Kaithal to call explanation of the then Tehsildar/Naib Tehsildar, Guhla who was looking after the work of demarcation of land since 30.06.2023 till 31.10.2023 because after harvesting of the *Kharif* crop in October, the demarcation should have been done maximum by 31.10.2023.
  - (ii) DC, Kaithal should also call explanation of the then SDO(C), Guhla who was in position since 12.07.2024 till 31.08.2024 during which he was expected to dispose of the first appeal.
  - (iii) Ms. Preeti, IAS, DC, Kaithal is to explain why a wrong report dated 14.11.2024 was sent and why no action was taken on the second appeal which was filed by the complainant with the DC, Kaithal on 10.10.2024.

The copies of complaint and appeals filed by the complainant were sent by the complainant alongwith his original complaint to the Commission which are enclosed as **(Annexure-B)** for ready reference of the concerned officers. The Commission would have filed the case if there was a delay *simplicitor* but it is not prepared to condone those delays where the appeals had been filed by the appellate authorities and moreover, when the Commission had taken *suomoto* cognizance, a wrong report was sent to it because of which, it had filed the case in respect of district Kaithal.

The present DC, Kaithal is directed to send the action taken report on the above directions through email [rtsc-hry@gov.in](mailto:rtsc-hry@gov.in) by 23.06.2025, failing which a hearing will be held on 26.06.2025 at 12.30 pm which should be attended by the present DC, Kaithal, the then SDO(C), Guhla who was in position from 12.07.2024 to 31.08.2024 and the then Tehsildar/Naib Tehsildar, Guhla who was in position from 30.06.2023 to 31.10.2023.

05<sup>th</sup> June, 2025

