

Through e-mail only



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
E-mail: - <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050

No. HRSC-020008/145/2025/ 4239

Dated: 09th Oct 2025

To

The SGRA-cum-Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Faridabad.
E-mail: cmc-faridabad@ulbharyana.gov.in

The FGRA-cum-Deputy Municipal Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Faridabad.
E-mail: jc-faridabad@ulbharyana.gov.in

The Designated Officer-cum-ZTO,
Municipal Corporation, Faridabad.
E-mail: cmc-faridabad@ulbharyana.gov.in

Subject:- 21 appeals pertaining to service- Issuance of new Property ID [RTS-10 days]- District- Faridabad- Final orders.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the subject cited above and to send herewith a copy of final orders dated 08.08.2025 passed by Sh. T.C. Gupta, Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission for information and necessary action, please.

(Sube Khan)

Under Secretary-cum-Registrar,
Haryana Right to Service Commission
E-mail: rtsc-hry@gov.in

CC: A copy of the above is forwarded to the appellants (through AAS portal) for information.



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
Website- <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050

Final Orders

**(In respect of 4 Revisions pertaining to service- Issuance of new Property
ID [RTS-10 days]- District: Faridabad.)**

Hearing date: 08.10.2025

Time: 10.30 am

1. This is in reference to the Commission's Interim Orders dated 28.08.2025, issued vide letter no. 3617 dated 29.08.2025. Vide the said orders, the SGRA-cum-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation was requested to submit the revised report by 01.09.2025. In response, a reply was received from the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad vide letter no. MCF/PS/2025/950 dated 01.09.2025 (Copy attached at Annexure A).
2. From perusal of the reply, it was observed that in 13 out of 21 Revisions, the applications had been approved and either the satisfaction letters had been attached, or the Commission contacted the applicants to ascertain their satisfaction. In view of the same, the Commission disposed of those Revisions.
3. In the case of 4 Revisions, the applications had been reverted to the applicants by MCF in May 2025. Since then, no replies were received from the citizens. Thus, these Revisions were also dismissed.
4. For the remaining four Revisions, wherein the applications had been rejected, a hearing was scheduled before Sh. T. C. Gupta, Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission on 08.10.2025 at 10:30 a.m., conveyed vide Commission's letter no. 3898 dated 18.09.2025.
5. (a) The hearing took place as scheduled, which was attended by the following:
 - i. Sh. Dharendra Khadgata, IAS, SGRA-cum-Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad
 - ii. Smt. Suman, Designated Officer-cum-Zonal Taxation Officer, NIT Zone, Faridabad
 - iii. Smt. Srishti, Designated Officer-cum-Zonal Taxation Officer, Old Faridabad Zone
- (b) None of the applicants appeared for the hearing despite having received advance notices. Although Smt. Kaushalya Devi had initially consented to appear, she too failed to attend. After hearing the

respondents and perusing the record, the reasons for rejection of the applications in all four Revisions are as follows:

- (i) **Smt. Kaushalya Devi (AAS25/1584439):** The plot size is less than 30 sq. yds. and has been sub-divided. Therefore, as per Government instructions, a separate Property ID (PID) cannot be created.
- (ii) **Smt. Rita Tandon (AAS25/1584440):** She applied for sub-division of the floor without obtaining the Occupation Certificate (OC) and, despite repeated reminders from the Municipal Corporation authorities, failed to submit the requisite documents.
- (iii) **Shri Ajay Arora (AAS25/1577727):** The reasons for rejection are valid, and he has, in fact, submitted a satisfaction letter to the Municipal Corporation accepting the reasons for rejection of his earlier application.
- (iv) **Smt. Kiran (AAS25/1584416):** A Property ID already exists for this property and hence, the application was rejected.

The reasons for rejection in all the above cases are found to be valid. Accordingly, all the four Revisions are hereby dismissed.

08th October, 2025

